NITROSO COMPOUNDS I. THE REACTION OF PHENYLCOPPER REAGENTS

WITH NITROSAMINES. (CLEAVAGE OF THE N-N BOND)

Mohammed T. Rahman^{*}, Izzat Ara (Miss) and A. F. M. Salahuddin

Department of Chemistry, The University

of Dacca, Dacca - 2, Bangladesh

(Received in UK 6 February 1976; accepted for publication 16 February 1976)

Because of the recently expressed interest in the chemistry of nitrosamines for their carcinogenic¹ and chemotherapeutic² properties as well as their use in organic synthesis³⁻⁵, we thought it interesting to study some of their reactions with organometallic reagents. Phenyllithium and phenylmagnesium bromide react with dialkylnitrosamines to produce sym-hexahydrotetrazines $(I)^{4,5}$ and $\underline{N}, \underline{N}'$ -diphenyl-<u>N</u>-methylhydrazine $(II)^{6-8}$ respectively as the principal products in which the N-N bonds of the nitrosamines remained unaffected. By contrast we have observed that phenylcopper reagents react with nitrosamines to give products resulting from the cleavage of the N-N bonds.

In a representative reaction when 1.1 equivalents of phenylcopper⁹ (prepared from equimolar amounts of phenylmagnesium bromide and copper(I) chloride in THF) reacted with one equivalent of dimethylnitrosamine (DMNA) at -20° for 3 hr, the following products¹⁰ (% yields in brackets) were obtained subsequent to the usual work-up¹¹: dimethylamine (51), biphenyl (13), diphenylamine (29), diphenylhydroxylamine (30), phenol (20) and traces of β -phenylhydroxylamine and <u>N,N</u>-dimethylaniline. In the above reaction if diphenylnitrosamine (DFNA) was used in place of DMNA then the following products were obtained : diphenylamine (44), diphenylhydroxylamine (28), phenol (21) and traces of triphenylamine and β -phenylhydroxylamine. In neither reaction was I or II formed. The reactions of DMNA and DFNA with bromomagnesium diphenylcuprate¹¹ (prepared

959

^{*} Present address : Department of Organic Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University, Fack, S-402 20, Göteborg 5, Sweden.

from two equivalents of phenylmagnesium bromide and one equivalent of copper(I) chloride in THF) follow a similar course to that observed with phenylcopper reagent.

We are still working on the mechanism of the reaction but we feel that the following sequence (as examplified by phenylcopper¹²) may explain the formation of various products :





The intermediate IV has probably been formed by a direct nucleophilic attack by the organocopper cluster on the nitroso nitrogen to form III followed by the transfer of a phenyl group. Alternatively, an electron-transfer process similar to the one proposed by House and Umen^{13,14} for the formation of an enolate ion during the reaction of cuprates with $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated carbonyl compounds may explain the formation of the adduct IV. An intermediate like IV with Li or MgBr in place of Cu is believed to be involved in the reaction of nitrosamines with either phenyllithium^{4,5} or phenyl-magnesium bromide⁶⁻⁸. This type of intermediate (<u>i.e.</u>, the one with lithium or bromomagnesium in place of copper in IV) undergoes an elimination reaction to give a dipolar species <u>e.g.</u>, V (for R = CH₃ in IV) which reacts further to give the observed products

I or II. But IV does not seem to undergo the elimination reaction, at least not so fast probably because copper is less electropositive than either lithium or magnesium. Thus a second molecule of phenylcopper has an opportunity to react with IV probably involving a multi-centre transition state and cleave the N-N bond. Since R_0NH and Ph_0N-OH were the main products probably for both steric and electronic reasons, pathway a is obviously of major importance. The biphenyl, formed in the reaction of DANA with phenylcopper, has probably originated from the oxidative coupling of the latter. (The reduction potential of DMNA is less negative than -1.0 vs sce¹⁵ and therefore like nitrobensene it is expected to oxidime^{14,16} the copper reagent). Biphenyl was not formed in the analogous reaction of DPNA. The reason for this is unclear at the moment although the steric factor may be involved. All the diphenylamine from the reaction of DMNA with phenylcopper and at least half of that formed in the analogous reaction with DPNA, and phenol (in both cases) have probably been formed by an exchange reaction between VI and a molecule of phenylcopper. A similar exchange reaction has been proposed to explain the formation of diphenylamine and phenol in the reaction of diphenylhydroxylamine and excess phervimagnesium bromide^{6a, 17}.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>. We thank Professor Martin Nilsson, Dr. Hans-Dieter Becker and Dr. Olof Wennerström for helpful discussion at the time of writing the paper, Mrs. Anna Rahman for checking the English and the University of Dacca for financial support to I. R. and A. F. M. S.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- E. Scherer and P. Emamelot, Eur. J. Cancer, 1975, <u>11</u>, 145; R. C. Shank, Toxicol.
 Appl. Pharmacol., 1975, <u>31</u>, 361; J. M. Barnes and P. N. Magee, Brit. J. Ind. Med.,
 1954, <u>11</u>, 167; P. N. Magee and J. M. Barnes, Brit. J. Cancer, 1956, <u>10</u>, 114.
- C. T. Bahner, D. H. Brotherton and M. K. Brotherton, J. Med. Chem., 1968, <u>11</u>, 401;
 F. M. Schabel, T. P. Johnston, G. S. McCaleb, J. A. Montgomery, W. R. Laster and
 H. E. Skipper, Cancer Res., 1963, <u>23</u>, 725.
- D. Seebach and D. Enders, Chem. Ber., 1975, <u>108</u>, 1293; Y. L. Chow, Canad. J. Chem., 1967, <u>45</u>, 53; D. Seebach and D. Enders, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1972, <u>11</u>, 1102
 ; H. Alper, Organometal. Chem. Syn., 1970-1971, <u>1</u>, 69.

- 4. P. R. Farina, Tetrahedron Lett., 1970, 4971.
- 5. P. R. Farina and H. Tieckelmann, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 4259.
- 6a).H. Wieland and A. Roseeu, Ber., 1915, <u>48</u>, 1117, b).H. Wieland and H. Fressel, Ber., 1911, <u>44</u>, 898.
- 7. P. R. Farina and H. Tieckelmann, J. Org. Chem., 1975, <u>40</u>, 1070.
- 8. A. F. M. Salahuddin, Dacca University M. Sc. Thesis, 1974.
- 9. H. Gilman and J. M. Straley, Rec. Trav. Chim., 1936, 55, 821.
- 10. The products were separated by fractional distillation and/or by column chromatography and identified by TLC or GLC and/or where possible by IR, m.p., b.p., and mixed m.p. Some nitrosamines (20-22%) were always recovered from these reactions.
- 11. M. T. Rahman and H. Gilman, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 1974, 51, 1018.
- 12. No structures are to be implied from the notation for the copper reagents. These copper compounds may, however, have definite cluster structures (A. Cairncross and W. A. Sheppard, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, <u>93</u>, 247 and 248; J. M. Guss, R. Mason, I. Søtofte, G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, Chem. Commun., 1972, 446; J. M. Guss, R. Mason, K. M. Thomas, G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometal. Chem., 1972, <u>40</u>, C 79; M. F. Lappert and R. Pearce, Chem. Commun., 1973, <u>1</u>, 24) and form complexes with solvent molecules as ligands (G. Costa, A. Camus, L. Gatti and N. Marsich, J. Organometal. Chem., 1966, <u>5</u>, 568; H. O. House, W. L. Respess and G. M. Whitesides, J. Org. Chem., 1966. <u>31</u>, 3128; H. O. House and W. F. Fischer, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 1968, <u>33</u>, 949).
- 13. H. O. House and M. J. Umen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 5495.
- 14. H. O. House and M. J. Umen, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 3893.
- 15. H. Lund, Acta Chemica Scand., 1957, 11, 990.
- G. M. Whitesides, W. F. Fischer, Jr., J. San Filippo, Jr., R. W. Bashe and H. O. House, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, <u>91</u>, 4871.
- 17. See also P. Buck and G. Kobrich, Tetrahedron Lett., 1967, 1563, this paper proposes reverse addition of PhL₁ to Ph-N=O to give Ph-N(L₁)-OPh which reacts further with another molecule of PhL₁ to give Ph₂NL₁ and PhOL₁, but no nitrosobenzene seemed to have formed in our system. Furthermore, we did not think PhCu had added to $R_2^{N-N=0}$ in a "reverse" manner because no R_0^{N-NHPh} was formed.